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At this time of profound change in the legal profession, the authors propose reforms to the institutions they know best: corporate general counsel offices, large private law firms, and leading law schools. It is imperative, they say, that we train young lawyers to be expert technicians, wise counselors, and effective leaders. In my view, these institutions also play a vital role in preparing the next generation of federal and state judges. Thus, a useful addition to the authors’ paper would be a discussion of how these institutions can help foster a diverse and independent judiciary.

I have been a judge for almost thirty years, at both the state and federal level. When I graduated from law school in 1976, many of my peers aspired to a career in the public interest, at a law firm, or as a judge. I don’t remember anyone who aspired to be the general counsel of a corporation or work in-house. So, I read with interest about the increasing leadership role of the corporate counsel. Indeed, as I talk with my law clerks and meet with young lawyers, I perceive that many young professionals seek to move in-house for both professional and lifestyle reasons. One of my law clerks worked as a summer associate at a prominent Wall Street law firm. During orientation, the firm’s managing partner asked the assembled students to raise their hand if they intended to stay at the firm long enough to make partner. In a class of over one hundred summer associates, only five or six students raised their hand. But when the partner
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asked how many intended to go in-house to a client, a few dozen hands darted into the air. The managing partner didn’t ask how many students wanted to pursue a career on the bench.

Blackstone once praised judges as the “living oracles of the law,”¹ and the judiciary has historically been comprised of lawyers from both the private bar and the public sector.² Private sector lawyers tend to bring with them a rich understanding of current legal issues and lawyer-client dynamics. Public sector lawyers bring with them an appreciation for the role of government in the justice system and knowledge of how to navigate public law. The bench has long benefited from this vibrant mix of lawyers with diverse public and private professional backgrounds. Is the mix changing? The data suggests it is. For much of the last century, two-thirds of judges came from private firms, while one-third previously worked in the public sector, most commonly as prosecutors or state court judges.³ In recent years, less than one-third of new judges have come from the private sector.⁴ The percentage of district court judges coming directly from private practice has steadily declined under each of the past six presidents, dipping below 50 percent during the Carter Administration, continuing to fall during the Clinton Administration, and reaching 34 percent of nominees under President George W. Bush.⁵ While summary statistics have not yet been compiled on the Obama presidency, so far he has drawn
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heavily from the state and federal judiciary. State court judges and magistrates have comprised a combined 55 percent of his district court nominees.6

In my view, several factors have contributed to the changing composition of the bench. First is the difficulty and uncertainty of the Senate confirmation process. Judges must be vetted by the White House, their home-state Senator, the FBI, the American Bar Association, and sometimes a merit selection committee. Nominees must disclose the details of their finances and personal lives, and complete an extensive Judiciary Committee questionnaire describing the cases they’ve worked on throughout their career and providing the text of all public speeches they’ve delivered. The nomination then culminates with a confirmation hearing and a Senate floor vote, which can take months to be scheduled. In the 111th Congress, from 2009-2011, the average judicial confirmation took 174 days—nearly six months, and that only accounts for the 56 percent of nominees who were eventually confirmed.7 Forty-four percent of nominees had to await confirmation by the next Congress.8 By comparison, only 5 percent of President Reagan’s nominees waited more than six months to be confirmed.9 These delays have collateral professional consequences, particularly for lawyers at private firms, since “clients shy away from lawyers who are unlikely to be available for the duration of their legal problem.”10 Russell Wheeler, a federal courts scholar at the Brookings Institution, has posited that this financial toll is a key reason behind the rise in the percentage of district court judges who were magistrate or
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state court judges, not practicing attorneys.\textsuperscript{11} Despite the delays, eventual confirmation has been all but certain for district court nominees—the confirmation rate was 95 percent under President George W. Bush and 86 percent under President Clinton.\textsuperscript{12} In President Obama’s first term, however, the rate fell to 78 percent.\textsuperscript{13} Some lawyers may be unwilling to endure the long delays and intensive vetting process.

The path to becoming a state court judges is similarly rocky. Thirty-nine states elect judges, either through traditional partisan elections, non-partisan contests, or judicial retention votes after merit selection.\textsuperscript{14} These elections are becoming increasingly expensive and competitive. In the 2012 election cycle, a record $56 million was spent on state Supreme Court races alone, according to the Brennan Center for Justice.\textsuperscript{15} Judicial elections, which have long revolved around a candidate’s qualifications and judicial philosophy, are beginning to resemble modern political campaigns, with TV advertisements, direct mailings, and spending by outside interest groups.

The second factor that might deter would-be judges from the private sector from pursuing a seat on the bench is that judicial pay has not kept pace with compensation for other leadership positions in the profession. In 1969, district court judges were paid 21 percent more than the average dean of a top law school and 43 percent more than senior law professors, whereas now
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they make considerably less.\textsuperscript{16} And the limits on outside income and the acceptance of honoraria created by the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 make judges reliant on base salary.\textsuperscript{17} Last year, the judiciary received its first significant pay modification in years, to account for the denial of cost-of-living adjustments.\textsuperscript{18} And yet, a district court judge still takes home less than a third-year associate at a major law firm. The comparatively low pay may be particularly onerous for younger lawyers who have had to pay off large amounts of student debt. Judicial pay is likely one of the reasons why federal courts in high-cost cities have “low proportions of [former] private practitioners”\textsuperscript{19} on the bench.

Moreover, those who successfully secure a seat on the state or federal bench are likely to find themselves working in a court system that is underfunded. In his 2013 year-end report on the federal judiciary, Chief Justice Roberts warned of the perils of underfunding the courts.\textsuperscript{20} Further budget cuts, he said, could “pose a genuine threat to public safety” by delaying criminal trials, and delays on the civil side, he cautioned, could lead to “commercial uncertainty, lost opportunities and unvindicated rights.”\textsuperscript{21} Many state courts are likewise grappling with swelling dockets and contracting resources. Lingering budget shortfalls from the financial crisis have
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\textsuperscript{19} Wheeler, Changing Backgrounds, at 147.
\textsuperscript{21} Id. at 9.
forced some state courts to cut back on everything from building maintenance to law clerks, pre-trial services, and security personnel.\textsuperscript{22}

In light of these obstacles, private sector lawyers may be hesitant to don a black robe. This is compounded by the fact that the modern district court judge sometimes seems more like Sisyphus pushing a pile of paperwork than an oracle of the law. As has been widely observed, the jury trial is “vanishing,”\textsuperscript{23} and in its place comes the “managerial judge.”\textsuperscript{24} Only 3 percent of federal criminal cases go to trial; the other 97 percent are resolved through plea bargains.\textsuperscript{25} And, in every year since 2005, less than 1 percent of civil cases in the federal courts have gone to jury trial.\textsuperscript{26} The civil caseload of many judges includes complex statutory causes of action, involving patent litigation, multi-district litigation, securities law, and whistleblower claims.\textsuperscript{27} As the caseload has changed, so too have the judge’s day-to-day responsibilities. For several decades now, the Federal Judicial Center in Washington, D.C. has trained new judges in case management techniques. Judges impose deadlines, resolve discovery disputes,\textsuperscript{28} and “use the
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many tools at [their] disposal to try to push the parties toward settlement.”29 The managerial judge is not an anomaly but instead the norm, at least at the federal level.

As a consequence, some say judges have become invisible, and are “holed up in their chambers while overseeing a largely paper process.”30 My colleagues, Judge William Young (D. Mass.)31 and Judge Lee Rosenthal (S.D. Tex.),32 among others, have urged judges to spend more time on the bench in open court. While the judiciary is divided on the question of whether “a well-tried case is a failure of a trial court or its crowning achievement,”33 it seems to me that the professional life of a judge has changed as a result of motions to dismiss post-Iqbal,34 the pervasive use of summary judgment motions, and changing rules governing class actions. My experience has been that even at the district court level, the day-to-day experience of judging on the civil side of the ledger involves research, writing, and case management based on the parties’ voluminous record—not trials.

Furthermore, the “exponential”35 rise of mandatory arbitration, which places civil decision-making in private hands, detracts from the central role courts have traditionally played in resolving civil disputes. Arbitration, mediation, and other alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are commonly used in a vast range of disputes. Today, most of the country’s ninety-four federal district courts have mediation programs, which are attractive to litigants looking for a faster,36 safer, and cheaper alternative to a trial.37 In some districts, the civil backlog means
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litigants may have to wait “a year or more” for district judges “to rule on pretrial motions due to their packed dockets.” This is one of the reasons why more than forty percent of companies today use binding arbitration clauses to steer employee claims away from the courts, a sharp increase from the estimated 16 percent of companies that used such waivers in 2012.

So, like the bar, the bench is in transition in terms of who aspires to judgeships, the funding of the judiciary, what duties a judge performs, and how the private bar resolves civil disputes. As the Academy and the private sector seek to train young lawyers to be leaders, they should not marginalize the bench as the domain of criminal law, or treat serving as a judge as the next logical career step for prosecutors, defense attorneys, magistrate judges and state court judges. In my thirty years on the state and federal bench, I have found that the work of a judge is varied, intellectually rigorous, and inspiring in terms of the values we protect. District court judges decide thorny and consequential questions of law, and continue to occupy a “venerable role” in the American constitutional system. The triad of institutions the authors discuss—the Academy, corporate counsels, and law firms—should strive to encourage young lawyers to consider a career on the bench, and make judgeships attractive to lawyers from all sectors of the bar by supporting reforms to improve the salary structure, increase funding of the courts, and smooth the process for becoming a judge. The vitality of an independent judiciary depends on it.
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